

AREA PLAN

CONTRACT AP-2122 Amendment #1

ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this document is to outline the allocation methodology used to allocate Federal and State funds for the Area Plan (AP) Contract AP-2122 Amendment.

To determine each AAA's AP-2122 Contract Amendment allocation, CDA used the methodologies described below.

- Nutrition Services Incentive Program (NSIP) Revised Allocations — corrected the NSIP initial allocations that were based on FFY 2019. ACL released guidance that NSIP must be calculated using FFY 2018 data to ensure hold harmless due to COVID-19 response.
- Increase in General Fund Baseline Administration Funding: Each Area Agencies on Aging received a flat increase of \$100,000 that must be expended on administrative activities. This funding is tied to the Legislature's investment in Area Agencies on Aging and not tied to a specific Title III category.
- Increase of \$14.3 million General Fund for Title IIIC Nutrition: \$7.0 million was allocated through the Intrastate Funding Formula (IFF) to ensure sufficient General Fund match for all Title III funding the department has received, which includes the required match for the American Rescue Plan (ARP) funding that has not yet been disbursed. The remaining \$7.3 million was allocated on the Washington Formula Factors. For the \$7.0 million that was allocated through the IFF, AAAs may see shifts in their Federal Title IIIB, C1 and C2 funding and their General Fund C1 and C2 funding. There is no impact to the allocations of the \$17.5 million nutrition augmentation funding that was allocated in the Area Plan Original Contract via the Washington Formula Factors.
- State Health Facilities Citation Penalties Account OTO Fund Allocation — State Health Facilities Citation Penalties Account funds for the Ombudsman Program were allocated using each Planning and Service Area's relative proportion of the State's total number of Skilled Nursing Facility Beds.