#1

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Wednesday, August 09, 2023 7:22:25 PM
Last Modified:	Wednesday, August 09, 2023 7:37:16 PM
Time Spent:	00:14:50
IP Address:	75.144.240.194

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

California Association of Long Term Care Medicine (CALTCM)

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

KJ Page

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup Other (please explain): representative of professional association serving LTC leadership staff

5) I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

Q13

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher. Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

3 / 63

#2

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Thursday, August 10, 2023 10:40:16 AM
Last Modified:	Thursday, August 10, 2023 11:12:32 AM
Time Spent:	00:32:16
IP Address:	204.108.16.101
Time Spent:	

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

California Conference of Local Health Officers

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Anissa Davis

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

Public health professional

5) I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

4 / 63

Q6

3. I am undecided or abstain

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

There may be times of extremely limited supply and healthcare workers may need to be prioritized, depending on the supply.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Taking this out--the proposed framework would direct public health officers, LTCF operators, resident advocates, and resident and family representatives to collaborate on parameters that would enable visitation.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

1. I disagree and cannot support

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm), Other (please explain): I don't know; I would say either what the usu LTCF visitation

hours are, or maybe pared down a little if usu visitation hours aren't feasible for the LTCF due to activities related to the state of emergency. Page 7

Q13

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Depending on the situation, there could still be limited supply of emergency provisions, even w compassionate care being prioritized.

Page 8

Q15

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

#3

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Sunday, August 13, 2023 10:56:21 AM
Last Modified:	Sunday, August 13, 2023 11:08:32 AM
Time Spent:	00:12:10
IP Address:	20.245.183.18

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

CA Assisted Living Assn

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Heather Harrison

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup Other (please explain): Representing Assisted Living Providers

1) I disagree and cannot support

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Visitors should be allowed without requiring providers to manage an unlimited list of names that can change repeatedly at any time for each resident. Maintaining such a list will bog down the visitation process, create more confusion that it prevents. Suggest simply allowing visitors.

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm), Other (please explain): Depends upon need of resident and family/visitors and reality of state of emergency

Q13

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Note that while state agencies would post the requirements on their websites, local public health departments often have different requirements.

Other (please explain):

Unpaid family caregiver advocate.

5) I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

#4

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Monday, August 14, 2023 2:45:14 PM
Last Modified:	Monday, August 14, 2023 2:52:07 PM
Time Spent:	00:06:52
IP Address:	208.127.82.154

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

Association of CA Caregiver Resource Centers (ACCRC)

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Jack Light

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher. Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

#5

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Sunday, August 13, 2023 8:37:24 PM
Last Modified:	Monday, August 14, 2023 8:19:50 PM
Time Spent:	23:42:25
IP Address:	76.89.133.227

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

Chosen Family

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Melody Taylor Stark

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Resident, resident's loved one, and/or resident advocate

1) I disagree and cannot support

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

The role of bio/chosen family on the treatment team is a paradigm shift. Department of Mental Health went through it , Department of Children and Family Services went through it - both with successful outcomes. "Resident Designated Visitor (RDV)" MUST be changed to "Resident Designated Support Persons (RDSP)" and "Visitor" Must change to "RDSP" throughout the document. This is not a matter of a mere title. It's the intent of the title.

Having worked in the California Department of Mental Health (now under Department of Health Care Services0 system for over 20 years one thing is very clear - the level of and quality of patient/client success depends on the Support Persons as a critical part of the treatment team.

Bio/chosen family are the subject matter experts. Doctors, nurses, therapists, case managers work is minimized without the involvement of support persons and the patient/client.

DMH has long known how critical Support Persons are. I note that CalAIM recognizes "Support Persons" and documentation standards for DMH have been updated to include this critical role. Other regulatory agencies need to come on board with this protocol.

"Resident Designated Visitor (RDV)" MUST be changed to "Resident Designated Support Persons (RDSP)" and "Visitor" Must change to "RDSP" throughout the document.

Page 4

Q6

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

 What are "legitimate external factors"? 2) 14 days is unacceptable. It's detrimental to health and wellbeing of all residents and needs to be removed. A resident's health drastically declines and 14 days later the reason is known only to a select group of people?
 "Supply Issues" - are there not measures in place including F880, F600, and AB 2537 governing supplies? Having emergency supplies is a given - Are residents subject to receiving treatment due to "supply issues" - for example gauze pads? Supply of PPE should be an expectation not a variable

Page 5

Q8

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

What are the policies / laws in place for emergencies and emergency supplies? "The E-Box" for example - should a facility also not be required to have fire extinguishers? or are they under "oops!, not enough supply?"

Page 6

Q10

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Access MUST be 24/7 - NOT subject in any way to the convenience of the facility Point "b" - how many people would die?

Q12

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Page 7

Q13

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Strike this! Not needed and too much of a trip wire. It's covered in Recommendation A.a.ii "As a standard, facilities may not limit the number of individuals who may be designated as RDVs, and resident may add or change their RDVs at any time."

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

"method for rapidly responding" what is "rapid"? a month? a year? it should be 24 hours

Further, 1) the Workgroup needs to produce a working P&P document. At the rate this process is going, by the time P&P / Law is in place, we could go through multiple lockdowns, many will parish.

2) I stress again that acknowledging and implementing Support Persons as a vital component of the Treatment Team is a paradigm shift that must go forward.

3) We are all aware of the consequences of PHE lockdown and recognize that locally and nationally it will happen again.

Question: If we do not have a policy or law in place when the next lockdown occurs (which can be soon - hypothetically it happens in September 2023) are the regulatory agencies (such as DPH and DPSS), facilities, and support systems such as Ombudsman prepared to go through the calls, written complaints, outreach to media, etc. again?

#6

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 12:04:27 AM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 1:28:39 AM
Time Spent:	01:24:11
IP Address:	74.62.4.154

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

Resident/Self

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Nancy Stevens

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Resident, resident's loved one, and/or resident advocate

4) I agree with some reservations

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

For the following uses of the loose term "visitors", "The term Visitors devalues the purpose of the designated/chosen caregiver." May I suggest using "Resident Designated Support Person?

In Principle 1, can we add the words "and survival" after well-being?

LTCF designated support persons are equally as vital as paid facility staff, and should have access just as a paid provider. Refer to principle 1-iii

Principle 1 iv - can we add the word "eaqually" before important role...

Under principle 3 iii, can we add c) RDSP should also have access through these same authorities

Page 4

Q6

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Principle 4b - Having adequate supplies for staff and all essential visitors should be mandated, just as e-kit supplies are mandated for the safety and well being of the residents. Refer to waiver for facilities with abundant supplies to share PPE with facilities that are short on supplies.

External factors - There is no time to convene stakeholders, especially NOT 14 days. There needs to be an immediate decision for safe protocol to have RDSP access to resident/Resident access to RDSP.

Supply issues is something we should have amended by now. There should be repercussions if supply is not made available to the RDVs just the same as it is for staff. Here, a plan for restocking adequate supply BEFORE there is an emergency shortage should be inserted.

Insert above: Long term care visitors, or RDV to be among the TOP TIER priority populations for any emergency supplies required to adhere to safety protocols.

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Insert above: Long term care visitors, or RDV to be among the TOP TIER priority populations for any emergency supplies required to adhere to safety protocols.

Page 6

Q10

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Could we remove the word " reasonably? Unobstructed 24 hour access

Q12

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Page 7

Q13

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}}$

1. I disagree and cannot support

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

I strongly recommend removing this. Having this entire new CA guideline would mitigate any need for an unnecessary separation of RDSP and Compassionate Care.

If residents have 24/7 access to their RDSP, this would then help to avoid items i through vi.

There should not be any reason for a resident not to have access to their RDSP, including PPE supplies. Discuss please

Page 8

Q15

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Above: Is there an F-tag for this? Residents cannot wait on this type of time table, as it stands today. 1-2 days max if a time table is absolutely necessary here.

I strongly recommend that, in addition to posting current policies on websites, to include these to be posted visibly upon entrance and/or visibly inside the entrance to avoid the reoccurrence of facilities, again, stating that they have not had a chance to update their website according to guidelines, or simply by stating to "visitors" false information simply because people will often believe what they hear. It is critical to have updated policies in writing, posted easily visibly and accessible. Far too many facilities did not keep up with their websites' Covid info, and there was no enforcement or oversight that assured there would be sufficient and current information on facility websites. And we already know that the information that was posted, far too often, it was inaccurate. Without a definitive number of days for the use of the word timely, this allows for appeals and grievances to get forgotten about or not addressed at all. Meanwhile, residents die while that process unfolds or doesn't. There is no oversight to ensure the above process is enforced or implemented. This would drain needed resources including money, labor, and time. We must simply designate an F-tag for any failure to adhere to the guidelines for RDSP policies.

Thank you so much for allowing me grace, as I am new to policy advising. Thank you for your understanding. Thank you for including me, who is just a resident, in this discussion and decision making process for this critically necessary policy. Thank you for working so thoughtfully on this with and for us!

#7

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 12:42:09 PM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 12:51:49 PM
Time Spent:	00:09:40
IP Address:	50.91.137.202

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

Kern Medical

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Norka Quillatupa

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

Public health professional

4) I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm)

Q13

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher. Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

#8

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 12:49:57 PM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 1:34:50 PM
Time Spent:	00:44:53
IP Address:	50.219.35.6

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

CANHR

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Tony Chicotel

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Resident, resident's loved one, and/or resident advocate

4) I agree with some reservations

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

I continue to balk at the use of the term "visitor" rather than support person in this context for all of the reasons that have been stated by multiple workgroup members during our meetings. I also balk at the references to "facilities" limiting access instead of local or state public health authorities. Facilities are required by law to permit robust access. In order for them to limit access, they will have to be ordered to do so by a public health authority. I get that ultimately it will be the facilities that comply with the orders and restrict access but they should not be given a discretionary role - that is something we are trying to avoid because of potential inconsistencies from facility to facility. Regarding Principle 1, a support person is way more than just someone the "resident wishes to see." They are someone they wish to see and receive support from. For subsection (iii), I recommend adding the word "essential" to "frontline care". Regarding Principle 2, preventing variation is certainly a goal but the main concern is minimizing or avoiding any access restrictions in the first place.

Page 4

Q6

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

It would be nice to have a stated principle be that ANY TIME a public health authority limits access to support persons during a PHE, stakeholder input will be sought and considered at the outset and for the duration.

Q12

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Page 7

Q13

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

I'd prefer to amend the CMS definition as it only refers to those who have experienced decline or change. I can certainly see situations where a resident's condition might be considered "stable" but is nonetheless precarious - someone on hospice care for example. I recommend taking "end of life and/or hospice care" out of the category of those "whose health has declined . . . " and making it a separate category of folks who would qualify for compassionate care exceptions.

Page 8

Q15

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

#9

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 1:33:40 PM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 1:58:28 PM
Time Spent:	00:24:47
IP Address:	216.93.238.162

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

CAHF

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

DeAnn Walters

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

2) I disagree but will not stand in the way

Other (please explain): SNF Trade Organization

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

I would like to state again, if a resident can designate any individual and change the designated individuals at anytime, then there should not be a list of designated individuals (RDVs). The current requirements that a resident can have any visitor they choose should remain in place and only the parameters of the visit should be in discussion.

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

4. I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm), Other (please explain):

13-16 hours a day, 7 days a week with individualized exceptions. A facility needs to secure both staff and residents for safety, especially at night. There are no staff to monitor entry door at night and the staff present need to be focused on attending to the needs of the residents. If mulitple visitors are in the facility, the staff cannot ensure the safety of other residents. It cannot be left fully open but the facility should be able to make individualized needs assessments and exceptions. Most do so please do not tie their hands.

Page 7

Q13

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

a.ii. the word written was toss and not loss

Page 8

Q15

2. I disagree but will not stand in the way

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

In the Recommendation F, section b would not be needed if the requirement to designate was removed and all visitors are allowed per current guidance/law and the only requirements are around the safety protocols and parameters.

#10

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Monday, August 14, 2023 1:55:15 PM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, August 15, 2023 2:38:32 PM
Time Spent:	Over a day
IP Address:	76.167.208.109

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

California Commission on Aging

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Ellen Schmeding

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup Other (please explain): Commission representative

5) I am in complete agreement

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

I continue to feel that we need to identify the risks to the visitors that may occur with coming into a facility during an outbreak situation. I'm not sure if that's been added to any section, but I think it needs to be noted that visitors may be at risk.

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Please see my earlier comment about the risk to visitors associated with coming into a site where there may be a disease outbreak. Perhaps this section would be better to amend with that information.

Page 5

Q8

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Under 5B I would also add state licensing. They need to be included in development of any standards.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm),Other (please explain):Because many residents are sleeping during the hours of 8PM to 8 AM, it would be helpful to limit visitation of thetime. I think in the compassionate care situation, it should

32 / 63

be 24 hours a day.

Page 7

Q13

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}}$

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Nothing to add.

Page 8

Q15

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

I agree with the changes have been made. Thank you.

#11

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 2:55:57 PM

 Last Modified:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 3:08:34 PM

 Time Spent:
 00:12:37

 IP Address:
 73.12.153.196

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

California Foundation of Independent Living Center

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Talmadge House

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

State departments

Respondent skipped this question

4) I agree with some reservations

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Page 7

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher. Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 7:03:26 PM

 Last Modified:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 7:10:43 PM

 Time Spent:
 00:07:17

 IP Address:
 204.154.221.130

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

LeadingAge California

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Amber King

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup Other (please explain): Long-Term Care Provider Association

5) I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm)

Respondent skipped this question

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher. Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Collector:Web Link 1 (Web Link)Started:Friday, August 11, 2023 2:16:04 PMLast Modified:Tuesday, August 15, 2023 7:44:40 PMTime Spent:Over a dayIP Address:162.2.32.70

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

State Council on Developmental Disabilities

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Ken DaRosa

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

It's appreciated that this recognizes the unique role of personal care assistants supporting those with I/DD. The emphasis here should be person-centered, empowering those with I/DD to have a voice and be an active, respected part of the decision -making process. This (person-centered) should be emphasized throughout the principles and/or recommendations.

Page 4

State departments

5) I am in complete agreement

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Re: Principle 5a -- Can the visit definition include "overnight?" Length of visit should be defined by resident.

Can allowances be made for animal companions/pets?

Consider the support needs of the visitors, as well (e.g., those who need attendants/facilitators). In the context of visitation at a Long-Term Care Facility (LTCF), when a visitor necessitates the presence of a personal assistant, it is recommended that this assistant be regarded not as an additional visitor but rather as a supportive aid (i.e., not count towards the visitor limit). This distinction acknowledges the essential role of personal assistants in providing assistance to visitors with specific needs while recognizing their distinct function from regular visitors. (supportive aid or facilitator).

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Page 7

Q13

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}}$

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

This should allow for "overnight stay" in certain circumstances. Additionally, as part of grieving and loss, pets are a vital part of one's comfort. Can pets be considered?

Further, the role of the personal care attendant for those with I/DD or other disabilities that include such care needs to be acknowledged and accommodated. Attendants are often better attuned to the needs of the individual and be an effective advocate and communicator.

Also, this should include language that allows for visitors who have their own PPE (meeting facility standards) that they are able to visit so that a limited supply of PPE at the facility does not hinder visitation from those who can provide their own.

Page 8

Q15

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{F}}$

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

It's critical to ensure that communications are provided in threshold languages and written in plain language for all residents and their visitors. Policies should be explained to residents so they are aware of, and communicate with, family, friends, and visitors.

Include notice at physical location as well as web site, and include sharing the protocols with each resident.

Add something about the frequency with which the visitor policy is re-examined, and a process/allowance by which visitors can provide feedback on the policy (what's working, what's not).

This should include an expedited appeal in compassionate care cases.

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 6:57:03 PM

 Last Modified:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 7:56:45 PM

 Time Spent:
 00:59:41

 IP Address:
 67.159.157.144

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

CA Long Term Care Ombudsman Association

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Jason Sullivan-Halpern

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Subpart (b) -- The word "should" be replaced with "must"

Page 4

Resident, resident's loved one, and/or resident advocate

4) I agree with some reservations

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

14 days is too long to start convening a stakeholder group. We recommend no more than 10 days.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Subpart (a) -- change "should" to "must"

Subpart (b) -- should take no longer than 10 days to convene and no longer than 14 days to issue standards/protocols

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

1. I disagree and cannot support

24 hours a day, 7 days a week

Page 7

Q13

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Subpart (b) -- should include LTC Ombudsman and state licensing personnel as individuals who can identify the need for compassionate care

Page 8

Q15

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation $\ensuremath{\mathsf{F}}$

Q16

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 11:30:56 PM

 Last Modified:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 11:42:32 PM

 Time Spent:
 00:11:36

 IP Address:
 72.134.243.217

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

ARCA

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Amy Westling

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

Other (please explain): Statewide Association

Respondent skipped this question

5) I am in complete agreement

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

In ii, would recommend the inclusion of someone with a specific concentration on the needs of those with developmental disabilities due to their unique needs.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Given the unique needs of those with developmental disabilities, I would recommend the representative group also include someone with specific expertise in the needs of this population.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

18 hours a day, 7 days a week

Long-Term Care Facility Access (LTCFA) Policy Workgroup: Draft of Workgroup Recommendations

Page 7

Q13

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}}$

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

4. I agree with some reservations

5. I am in complete agreement

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Recommendation F

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Would recommend LTCFs also post the appeals procedures in a place visible to residents and prospective visitors.

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Tuesday, August 15, 2023 11:29:15 PM

 Last Modified:
 Wednesday, August 16, 2023 12:33:58 AM

 Time Spent:
 01:04:42

 IP Address:
 98.42.42.126

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

County Health Executive Association of California

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Jayleen Richards, Solano County Public Health

Q3

Public health professional

1) I disagree and cannot support

into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

The next public health emergency is unknown and the response to it cannot be predicted. In concept, we support the visitation rights for clients in LTCF; however, during a public health emergency health care officials and health officers need to respond appropriately given the situation. The response may not be known at the onset of the disease outbreak/emergency, supplies may be limited, staffing may also be limited and this may impact visitation numbers and parameters during a public health emergency.

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

4a: During a public health emergency, there may be limited PPE, limited testing capacity, and nonexistent or limited vaccine. Staff workers within a LTCF should be prioritized to receive limited supplies and services to provide the care needed within the facility. 4b: this statement is vague and unclear. For example, will visitors be permitted into LTCF despite safety standards until the vaccine is available for them.

a. ignores/discounts known safety measures to reduce the spread of disease and mitigate deaths within a facility.

b. to form a workgroup during a public health emergency, especially dealing with an unknown disease, is a very heavy lift during such an event. There are many stakeholders and community members that need to be convened and some may need to be prioritized over others (given the emergency). Convening a workgroup and noticing for it is a heavy lift during an emergency with many stakeholders to consider.

Page 5

Q8

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Workers in health care settings, hospitals, LTCF, and public health should be prioritized for limited supplies during a public health emergency so they may be able to serve the community and save lives (safeguard the public's health).

Page 6

Q10

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

5a: There may be limited knowledge of necessary protective measures to limit the spread of disease. For a limited time protective measures may need to be in place.

5b: collaboration during a public health emergency may not be feasible during the onset of an emergency.

Public health officials will want to work with stakeholders during a public health emergency. At the onset, with limited knowledge about the disease and limited access to supplies and vaccines, this collaboration may need to be delayed to address urgent matters. As a public health emergency unfolds and more knowledge is gained, stakeholders can be convened and discussions can happen.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm),

Other (please explain):

We do not select any of the above options, but this was not allowed in the survey response. As stated above, this may vary greatly depending on the emergency and what is known about the disease. Visitation may not be permissible at the onset of an unknown disease.

Page 7

Q13

1. I disagree and cannot support

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Again, health care workers, hospital employees, LTCF workers, and public health workers need to be prioritized for limited supplies so they may protect and take care of the public's health.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F 2. I disagree but will not stand in the way

Respondent skipped this question

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Wednesday, August 16, 2023 1:19:10 PM

 Last Modified:
 Wednesday, August 16, 2023 1:25:56 PM

 Time Spent:
 00:06:45

 IP Address:
 75.23.237.19

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

Justice in Aging

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Eric Carlson

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

Resident, resident's loved one, and/or resident advocate

Respondent skipped this question

5) I am in complete agreement

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 6

Q10

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

12 hours a day, 7 days a week (e.g., 8 am-8 pm)

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

Q14

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher. Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

 Collector:
 Web Link 1 (Web Link)

 Started:
 Sunday, August 13, 2023 12:51:14 AM

 Last Modified:
 Friday, August 18, 2023 2:28:42 AM

 Time Spent:
 Over a day

 IP Address:
 47.229.253.72

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

m

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

m

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Resident, resident's loved one, and/or resident advocate

4) I agree with some reservations

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Principle 4b (previously Principle 5b):

DELETE! Having adequate supplies for staff and all essential visitors should be mandated, just as e-kit supplies are mandated for the safety and well being of the residents. Refer to waiver for facilities with abundant supplies to share PPE with facilities that are short on supplies.

Recommendation C(New):

There is no time to convene stakeholders, especially NOT 14 days. Supply issues is something we should have amended by now. There should be repercussions if supply is not made available to the RDVs just the same as it is for staff. Here, a plan for restocking adequate supply BEFORE there is an emergency shortage should be inserted.

Insert above: Long term care visitors, or RDV to be among the TOP TIER priority populations for any emergency supplies required to adhere to safety protocols.

Principle 5a (Previously Principle 6a): Remove the word "reasonably"

Page 4

Q6

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 5

Q8

4. I agree with some reservations

4. I agree with some reservations

Respondent skipped this question

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

PPE and medical supplies are a requirement and the responsibility of the LTCFs. It is part of DPH and CMS compliance, there should be no circumstances where they run out especially as there are already existing mitigation protocols to prevent PPE shortages in place on a state and local DPH. If in the very rare event PPE is short, it should not matter and family members visiting shold be given the same access as HCW and staff. As mentioned before there is no infection prevention measure that makes distinctions between staff, residents or resident visitors. PPE protects everyone, and family members visiting are just if not more essential than staff when it comes to interpreting residents' health and mental well-being.

Page 6

Q10

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

What happens if a facility refuses to convene a meeting including the family? This happened to us, for the compassionate care visit. The administrator has refused to give us a meeting to do this. Yet we can't file a complaint or do anything. This is a dangerous loophole that will absolutely be used. They "must" or else...? Perhaps the meeting can involve Ombudsman and family, and if LTCF admin. staff doesn't show up they get a citation. This is the only fair way.

Q12

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

24 hours a day, 7 days a week,

Other (please explain):

Residents who are vulnerable do their health (mental or physical) are susceptible to getting sick, feeling alone and becoming depress, they may be unable to sleep and need a family member to be there to support them as long they need to. As we have mentioned before, family caregivers, "visitors", etc. are very essential when it comes to noticing health changes in our in our loved ones. Its so important and literally save lives, and their quality of life as well.

Page 7

Q13

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation E

4. I agree with some reservations

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Why do we need this if we are working toward allowing visits regardless of PH emergency? Unless the residents are superhumans, they are all impacted physically and mentally by a crisis. That's the rule not the exception to the rule. As mentioned in my previous feedback, we can not leave the decision of compassionate care visit to a facility because some have and will refuse meetings and not honor compassionate care visitors as they did in my brother's facility. Their reason is that all the residents would qualify as needed for these kinds of visits, so what if they all do? They prefer to refuse this to everyone in the facility for no clinical reason, other than their making a decision that's easiest for them. I don't say this as an assumption but rather a fact since we went through 5 complaints and investigations, that resulted in the fact that there was never any clinical reason or exemption. Regarding PPE, I also mentioned it should be accessible to family members visiting, we are compliant, and get tested more often, in our case every single day. "visitors" are screening process is so strict, more strict than HCWs. I know they work really hard and this isn't to create a division if you survey HCWs a lot would tell you how much we help as families. How much do families go through to be able to go indoors and visit. As someone who is both a public health professional and a family member, I think there is so much room for improvement. If we are talking about another communicable disease we can be sure that the entire wold population (in general) is educated on basic infection prevention, we should underestimate family members or treat them like second-class citizens. Many of us have been caretaking and learning how to properly do things for our loved ones in a healthcare setting for many years, even before COVID-19. There is so much we can do, like have family members watch an educational video and take a short survey on the video. We have so many free resources for LTCFs on this.

Page 8

Q15

3. I am undecided or abstain

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation F

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

"should" is not a requirement, and there is little to no incentive for facilities to do this as a result, especially because non-compliance would not result in anything. They said we "should" but not that we are "required". These are words a lot of us heard all the time. If we can get this to be a requirement, then that would be more promising.

Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Friday, August 18, 2023 2:34:21 PM
Friday, August 18, 2023 2:50:38 PM
00:16:16
67.164.229.169

Page 1

Q1

Name of Organization

Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Advisory Committee

Q2

Name of Individual Completing Survey (First and Last)

Darrick Lam

Q3

Understanding that workgroup representatives may fall into different groups, please indicate which of the following best describes your role in this workgroup Other (please explain): HHS Advisory Committee member

5) I am in complete agreement

Respondent skipped this question

Page 3

Q4

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation A?

Q5

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 4

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation B.

Q7

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

re (b) please clarify that the resident has the right to decide if they want to see any of these individuals including those that have a statutory right to visit.

Page 5

Q8

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation C.

Q9

Do you have edits to this recommendation? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Please consider giving family members who are VDR priority to emergency supplies and vaccines, similar to the priority given to family members who are IHSS workers during COVID

Page 6

Q10

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation D.

Q11

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

re the visitation we suggest that the language reflect flexibility to meet the needs of the resident and the visitor.

Q12

18 hours a day, 7 days a week

How do you recommend that this workgroup define "accessible hours of visitation"?

Long-Term Care Facility Access (LTCFA) Policy Workgroup: Draft of Workgroup Recommendations

Page 7

Q13

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{E}}}$

Q14

Respondent skipped this question

5. I am in complete agreement

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles?If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

Page 8

Q15

4. I agree with some reservations

Please indicate your level of agreement on Recommendation ${\ensuremath{\mathsf{F}}}$

Q16

Do you have edits to this recommendation, or any additional feedback to provide on the associated principles? If you responded "1" to the question above, please indicate what modifications to this recommendation would bring your level of agreement to a "2" or higher.

We suggest that the principle also reflect the language needs of residents and visitors